

08 APR 22 PM 2: 58

1 John-Chester: Stuart
c/o: 21001 N. Tatum Blvd.,
2 Suite 1360472
Phoenix, Arizona state
3 Pro Per

4 *RP/ITPI* wrote all of this from memory and by himself
with no assistance and no access to any legal information
5 and while being unlawfully detained by the pirates known as

6 **the → SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA**

7 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA**

8 STATE OF ARIZONA,
a legal fiction Plaintiff,

9 vs.

10 JOHN C. STUART,
↑
a legal fiction Defendant.

) Case No.: CR-2008-006332-001DT

) Addendum to Petition
) to Remain Propria

) Persona:
) was one of the
) Creator's Believers

11
12 John Stuart, Real Party in
13 Interest/Third Party Intervener
14 having all rights

15 Third Party Intervener appears and petitions, in the nature of a
16 motion, Specifically and not generally, to remain as
17 the Creator intended per Judeo-Christian concepts
18 Propria Persona presenting oneself, in this instant
19 case, vi et armis, and not re-presenting
20 oneself as a "fiction" would.

21 WHEREAS 1) RP/ITPI is a "human being" as described
22 by Clayton Act §17, 15 U.S.C., and not in any
23 manner or case ever a "person", unless noted
24 as a "natural person and not a legal person",
25 RP/ITPI is accordingly able to handle his own
affairs; and

2) as the Com. Vandenburg, A.G. Thomas, and others
working for the STATE know, yet continue to

to perjure themselves for personal benefit, in violation of numerous laws and a plurality of morals, there is no such entity as a STATE issued License to practice law and therefore Com. Vanderburg's refusal to acknowledge RPII/TPI as Propria Persona is prima facie evidence of Com Vanderburg's unlawfull attempt to falsely detain RPII/TPI to prevent him from being able to prepare a proper defense; and

the so called "license" is in actuality a "union card" from a private company called the "BAR" and in no manner is a "Government issued license and as Arizona, the state, and THE STATE, are both, in layman and legal terms, respectively, "A Right to Work state, & STATE" it is unlawful, a felony pursuant to the law, to force and/or coerce a man to use the "union shop", especially when such force is "under color of law." (I)

3) The Constitution for these united States original 13th Amendment, in place until 1867, states clearly that lawyers are a different status than most "Americans"; in the layman term, thus do not have the same rights as a man and a man may accordingly lose rights, and his salvation,

1 if he volunteers for such and is determined
2 compus dimentia; and
3 4) there are NO COMPETENT ATTORNEYS
4 IN ARIZONA IN ADMIRALTY LAW, as
5 evidenced by this case and almost every
6 case in ARIZONA for the last 42 years; and
7 5) The STATE, C.A. Thomas, Com. Vanderburg,
8 Pros. Charbel are knowledgeable of the
9 previous statement and are purposely with
10 malice aforethought, as evidenced by their
11 actions so far in this matter, are attempting
12 to continuously switch between jurisdictions
13 to trick and or coerce RPII/TPI into
14 being determined guilty, and/or incompetent; and,
15 6) it is not known by many attorneys that
16 Admiralty jurisdiction requires "Motions"
17 as opposed to "Petitions" and although RPII/TPI
18 is desirous of being in the "Original Jurisdiction"
19 known in the first five Books of the
20 King James Bible, copyright 1611, and/or
21 "Torah Law". RPII/TPI does know the
22 Court is unlawfully and malum in se deceitful
23 in trying to "Fool" the masses, including without
24 limitations, attorneys into volunteering
25 into Admiralty Jurisdiction "Courts", and
such force by the court to refuse this and
previous Petitions as not proper shall be
considered prima facie evidence of

1 this Court being in Admiralty Jurisdiction
2 thus RPIITPI has proven conclusively
3 ALL OF HIS CLAIMS AGAINST ALL
4 OF THE PEOPLE ACTING UNDER
5 COLOR OF LAW TO UNLAWFULLY
6 DETAIN HIM.

7
8 ③ See: Rose ruling on practicing law without
9 a license in Arizona - circa 1989

10
11 Demand:

12 The Court, a legal fiction, must now
13 explain how it can claim to be "towing" a man
14 when a fiction can only talk to a fiction,
15 yet there is a real man, one of the Creators
16 living, breathing, human beings, being unlawfully
17 detained, thus kidnapped, when said man
18 is not under Admiralty Jurisdiction and said
19 court is under Admiralty Jurisdiction, or
20 in the Alternative the court may dismiss
21 with prejudice said matter and release
22 the hostage known as John Stuart, the man,
23 as originally ordered by Judge Strenale.

24
25
John Stuart
The man