UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

	District of New Jersey		
United States of America)		
v.)		
MATTHEW BRENT GOETTSCHE) Case No.	19-877	
Defendant)		

☐ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2), Motion 4 Defendant Matthew 3. Goothsche, to revoke the frescial Defending Oder usual by the first the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.				
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)				
☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:				
 □ (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): □ (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or 				
(b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or				
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or				
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or				
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:				
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and				
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.				
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and				
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was				
committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and				
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the				
defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.				

AO 472	(Rev.	11/16)	Order of Detention	Pending	Trial
--------	-------	--------	--------------------	---------	-------

☐ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a					
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the					
defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant					
committed one or more of the following offenses:					
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the					
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21					
U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);					
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;					
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years					
or more is prescribed;					
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of					
imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or					
☐ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245,					
2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4),					
2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.					
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above					
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is					
ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)					
OR					
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the					
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.					
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention					
carry on and vincentally of the Monday for Determine					
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing,					
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven.					
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: — and for the reasons fet forth on the record on February 14, 2020,					
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: — and for the reasons feet forth on the record on Televiay 14, 2020, By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure					
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: — and for the reasons fet forth on the record on February 14, 2020,					
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: — and for the reasms fet forther the record on Telegram 14, 2020, By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.					
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: — and for the reasons feet for more record on Tebruary 14, 2020, — By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure					
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: — and for the reasms fet forther the record on Telegram 14, 2020, By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.					
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: — and for the reasons feet for more record on Tebruay 14, 2020, By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.					
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: — and for the reasons feet for more record on Tebruary 14, 2020, — By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure					
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: — and for the reasons feet for more record on Tebruay 14, 2020, By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.					
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: — and for the reasons feet for me record on Tebruay 14, 2020, — By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. — By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:					
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: — and for the reasons feet forth on the record on Tebruary 14, 2020, — By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. — By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: — Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong					
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: — and for the reasons feet for the mode record on Tebruary 14, 2020, — By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: — Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong — Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted					
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: And for the reasons feet forth on the record on Televiay 14, 2020, By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history					
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: — and for the reasons feet for me treend on Tebruay 14, 2020, — By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. — By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: — Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong — Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted — Prior criminal history — Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision					
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: And by the reasons fet by me record an Ebruany 14, 2020. By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons					
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: — and for the reasms feet forth on the record on Telegram 14, 2020, By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse					

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial					
Lack of significant communitySignificant family or other ties	•				
	☐ Lack of legal status in the United States				
-	on after serving any period of incarceration				
 Prior failure to appear in court a 	as ordered				
 Prior attempt(s) to evade law er 					
Use of alias(es) or false docume					
☐ Background information unkno					
Prior violations of probation, page 1	arole, or supervised release				
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXP	PLANATION:				
As set forth on the record on	, Defendant remanded to Federal custody with the right to make a bail application at a later time				
As set forth on the record	, Defendant remanded to State custody with the right to make a bail application at a later time				
As set forth on the record	, Defendant remanded to custody pending the resolution of the case				
As set forth on the record	, Defendant remanded to custody pending hearing on				
P	art IV - Directions Regarding Detention				
The Defendant's motion is deni	of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for				
I he detendant is remanded to the custody	rate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being				
	efendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with				
defense counsel. On order of a court of	the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in				
charge of the corrections facility must de connection with a court proceeding.	liver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in				
	A rill				
Date: 2/16/2020	The hold/lame				
*	United States Magistrate Judge				