

1 Lee Stein (#12368)
2 lee@mitchellsteincarey.com
3 MITCHELL | STEIN | CAREY, PC
4 One Renaissance Square
5 2 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900
6 Phoenix, AZ 85004
7 Telephone: (602) 358-0292
8 Facsimile: (602) 358-0291
9 Attorneys for Defendant

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

11 DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

12	United States of America,)	CR-17-0585-02-PHX-JJT
13)	
14	Plaintiff,)	DEFENDANT PETER STEINMETZ'
15)	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO
16	v.)	TRAVEL TO PINETOP, ARIZONA
17)	AND UNOPPOSED MOTION TO
18	Peter Nathan Steinmetz, et al.,)	MODIFY CONDITIONS OF RELEASE
19)	
20	Defendant.)	(First Request)
21)	

22 Defendant Peter Steinmetz, though undersigned counsel, respectfully requests that
23 his conditions of release be modified from home detention to curfew, at the discretion of
24 Pretrial Services. Additionally, Dr. Steinmetz requests that this Court issue an order
25 authorizing him to travel to Pinetop, Arizona from July 16, 2017 through July 22, 2017.
26 Dr. Steinmetz wishes to travel to Pinetop, Arizona so he can take a prepaid vacation with
27 his wife and son. Planning and payment for the Pinetop trip were made well in advance
28 of the indictment of Dr. Steinmetz on June 20, 2017 on counts relating to money
transmitting. The allegations in the indictment do not allege that travel had anything to
do with the charges.

Undersigned counsel has spoken with Gilbert Lara of U.S. Pretrial Services, as
well as AUSA Carolina Escalante Konti. Neither Mr. Lara nor Ms. Escalante Konti
object to Dr. Steinmetz' request to modify his conditions of release. With respect to Dr.



1 Steinmetz's desire to travel to Pinetop, Arizona, Mr. Lara advised that he does not object
2 if and only if, subject to the Court's approval, Dr. Steinmetz is required to call in to
3 Pretrial Services every day. Because GPS monitoring is not consistently reliable in
4 Pinetop, in the absence of this condition Mr. Lara would object. AUSA Escalante Konti
5 advised that due to the inability to monitor Dr. Steinmetz while in Pinetop, she objects to
6 Dr. Steinmetz being allowed to travel to Pinetop, Arizona for the time period requested.

7 It is expected that excludable delay under Title 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and
8 (iv) may occur as a result of this motion or from an order based thereon.

9 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED on July 12, 2017.

10 MITCHELL | STEIN | CAREY, PC

11 By: /s/ Lee Stein

12 Lee Stein

13 Attorneys for Defendant

14 I certify that on July 12, 2017, I electronically transmitted a PDF version of this
15 document to the Clerk of Court, using the CM/ECF System, for filing and for transmittal
16 of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following CM/ECF registrants:

17 Clerk's Office
18 United States District Court
19 Sandra Day O'Connor Courthouse
20 401 W. Washington
21 Phoenix, Arizona 85003

22 Carolina Escalante Konti
23 Matthew Binford
24 Assistant U.S. Attorneys
25 Two Renaissance Square
26 40 North Central Avenue, Suite 1200
27 Phoenix, AZ 85004
28 Attorneys for Plaintiff

//
//
//
//
//

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

COPY mailed on July 12, 2017, to:

Gilbert R. Lara
U.S. Pretrial Services
401 W. Washington, SPC 260
Phoenix, AZ 85003

/s/ B. Wolcott

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America,)	CR-17-0585-02-PHX-JJT
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	ORDER
)	
Peter Nathan Steinmetz, et al.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

Upon review of Defendant’s Motion to Modify Conditions of Release and Motion to Travel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED modifying Defendant’s conditions of release from home detention to curfew, at the discretion of Pretrial Services.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED authorizing Defendant to travel to Pinetop, Arizona from July 16, 2017 through July 22, 2017; Defendant is required to make telephone contact each day with the United States Pretrial Services Office.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all other conditions of release imposed on Defendant shall remain the same unless otherwise ordered by this Court.

The Court finds excludable delay under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h) from _____ to _____.