

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America,)	No. CV 11-00698-PHX-FJM
Plaintiff,)	ORDER
vs.)	
James Leslie Reading, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	


We have before us James Leslie Reading, Clare L. Reading, and Fox Group Trust's unopposed motion to extend time to respond to complainant's motion for summary judgment and for leave to exceed page limits (doc. 69). The moving defendants request an additional three weeks to respond to plaintiff's motion for summary judgment, but one week should be sufficient.

Defendants also seek an unspecified number of additional pages. LRCiv 7.2 limits the length of motions, responses, and replies for a good reason. Brevity promotes clarity. We rely on lawyers to present us only with what is absolutely essential for us to understand the issue.

IT IS ORDERED GRANTING in part and **DENYING** in part defendants' motion to extend time and for leave to exceed page limits (doc. 69). Defendants shall file their

1 response to plaintiff's motion for summary judgment on or before June 21, 2012. Defendants'
2 response shall not exceed 17 pages.

3 DATED this 1st day of June, 2012.

4
5 
6

7 Frederick J. Martone
8 United States District Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28