

1 KATHRYN KENEALLY  
Assistant Attorney General

2 CHARLES M. DUFFY  
3 Trial Attorney, Tax Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
4 P.O. Box 683  
Ben Franklin Station  
5 Washington, D.C. 20044-0683  
Telephone: (202) 307-6406  
6 Email: [charles.m.duffy@usdoj.gov](mailto:charles.m.duffy@usdoj.gov)  
Western.taxcivil@usdoj.gov  
7 *Attorneys for the United States of America*

8 JOHN S. LEONARDO  
United States Attorney  
9 District of Arizona  
*Of Counsel*

10  
11 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12 DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 JOSEPH J. LIPARI, EILEEN H. LIPARI and  
EXETER TRINITY PROPERTIES, L.L.C.,

17 Defendants.  
18  
19

Civ. No. 10-CV-08142-JWS

**UNITED STATES' MOTION TO STRIKE  
AUGUST 29, 2012 FILING BY EXETER  
TRINITY PROPERTIES, LLC**

20 The Court recently allowed counsel for defendant Exeter Trinity Properties, LLC (“Exeter”) to withdraw in this matter. Thereafter, on August 29, 2012, Elmer Vild, who states that he is the “Tax Matters Trustee” for Exeter, filed a motion requesting a 90 day extension of time to find “pro bono counsel” and requesting other relief such as reconsideration of the Court’s August 21, 2012 order denying the substitution of Timeless Windsor Ventures Trust (“Timeless”) for Exeter. Mr. Vild’s motion should be stricken since the Court has already ruled at least four times that a non-lawyer - like Mr. Vild - cannot represent Exeter in this case. See the orders entered as court docket numbers 19, 71, 79 and 80.

28 The relief requested in the August 29, 2012 motion should also be denied on other grounds.

1 For example, Mr. Vild wants an additional 90 days to find counsel but it has been clear for at least  
2 90 days that Exeter's attorney was going to withdraw in this matter. *See* court docket number 64,  
3 at 1-2 (the undersigned Government attorney represented that Exeter's counsel sent a May 25, 2012  
4 e-mail stating that he was planning to withdraw as counsel). Thus, Exeter has already had an  
5 extended period to find new counsel and an additional period is not warranted.

6 Also, Exeter wants Timeless to be substituted as a party in this case because it (Exeter)  
7 believes that a trust can represent itself in Federal Court based on State of Arizona law. *See* the April  
8 29, 2012 filing, at 3:15-18. As a preliminary matter, the Court has already denied the substitution  
9 request on August 21, 2012 and Mr. Vild has not shown that the Court's order was manifest error  
10 or that there are new facts or legal authority to support Exeter's position. *See* Court Local Rule  
11 7.2(g)(1) (regarding Motions for Reconsideration). Also, the argument that a non-attorney trustee  
12 can represent a trust in Federal Court based on Arizona law was recently rejected in this District in  
13 another case. *See* Exhibit A filed in court docket number 75 (a copy of Judge Frederick Martone's  
14 order).

15 The Court should strike the August 29, 2012 filing or, in the alternative, deny the relief  
16 requested therein.

17 DATED this 31st day of August, 2012.

18  
19 KATHRYN KENEALLY  
Assistant Attorney General, Tax Division  
U.S. Department of Justice

20  
21  
22 By: /s/ Charles M. Duffy  
CHARLES M. DUFFY  
Trial Attorney, Tax Division

23 Of Counsel:

24  
25 JOHN S. LEONARDO  
United States Attorney  
(Attorneys for the United States)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 31st day of August, 2012, I served the subject document through the Court's CM/ECF system and, on the same day, I mailed by U.S. Postal Service the foregoing to the following:

Joseph J. Lipari  
156 Johnson Hill Drive  
Waynesville, NC 28786

Exeter Trinity Properties, LLC  
Elmer P. Vild, Tax Matters Trustee  
989 S. Main Street, #A-269  
Cottonwood, AZ 86326

/s/ Charles M. Duffy  
Charles M. Duffy  
Trial Attorney, Tax Division  
U.S. Department of Justice