Case 2:10-cr-00400-DGC Document 181 Filed 01/10/11 Page 1 of 3

Janice Sue Taylor
3341 Arianna Court
Gilbert, Arizona
Mailing address of convenience
Not a claimed residence or domicile
Without the United States,

FILED	roderd	
#ECEIVED	COPY	
JAN 1	0 2011	
CLERK U S DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA BY		
	DEPUTY	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No.: CR-10-400-PHX-MHM
Alleged Plaintiff, vs.) RESPONSE TO GOVERNMENT'S) MOTION FOR DISCLOSURE OF) TAX RETURN INFORMATION
Janice Sue Taylor,	
Alleged Defendant	

ALLEGED DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO GOVERNMENT'S MOTION FOR LIMITED DISCLOSURE OF TAX RETURN INFORMATION AND FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Comes now, Janice Sue Taylor, a living woman, not a corporation or other type of artificially created person, and not domiciled in the district of Columbia or any other Federal Territory; hereinafter the Movant, by Special Visitation or Appearance, not Granting jurisdiction nor recognizing this court's right to try her; but intervening in a foreign jurisdiction on behalf of the Alleged Defendant, Persona JANICE SUE TAYLOR, hereinafter the Alleged Accused. Movant is not trained in the law, nor is she an Attorney, nor is she appearing Pro Se; but rather of right in Sui Juris.

Movant hereby files this response to the Government's Motion for Limited Disclosure of Tax Return Information and for Protective Order. Movant has no objection to the Government disclosing to her certain third party tax returns and return information as proposed in the Government's motion. However, Movant objects to the narrow scope of the Government's proposed protective order.

As Movant understands it, the Government's proposed protective order would require the

Response to Governments motion of tax disclosure - 1

1 2

disclosed tax returns and return information to remain in the sole custody of Movants advisory counsel at the Federal Public Defender's Office. Movant would have to go to her advisory counsel's office to review the disclosures, but could not retain her own copies to study and analyze. While Movant would apparently be permitted to disclose the information to "defense investigators," this term is not defined and does not obviously or necessarily encompass the various tax consultants, attorneys, and others with whom Movant may consult in the preparation of her defense. Furthermore, disclosure of the information to those with whom she consults on her defense, for the purpose of obtaining their analysis or advice, even if permitted, would be difficult to accomplish effectively without the ability to furnish them with copies of the actual tax returns and return information. The Government's proposed protective order is simply too restrictive in scope and would prejudice Movants ability to prepare effectively her defense.

Movant therefore requests that this Court ensure that the applicable protective order permit her to retain her own copies of the third party tax returns and return information that the Government seeks to disclose, for purposes of review and analysis in aid of her defense. She further requests that the protective order permit her to share the disclosed tax returns and return information, including written copies of the same, with those persons with whom she consults for purposes of preparing her defense. Finally, Movant requests that the protective order permit her to question factual witnesses, with personal knowledge, about the content of the tax returns and return information.

WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Pursuant to UCC 1-308: "I reserve my right not to be compelled to perform under any contract, commercial agreement or bankruptcy that I did not enter knowingly, voluntarily, and intentionally. And furthermore, I do not and will not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed contract or commercial agreement or bankruptcy". I have made a timely and explicit reservation of my rights and insist that any statutes used in my defense shall be construed to be in harmony with the Common Law.

Dated this December 20, 2010

Janice Sue Taylor, sui jur s

Of one's own right, possessing full social Civil rights, sovereign character and capacity Without the United States, 1

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Certificate of Service

I, Janice Sue Taylor, hereby declare and state that I have filed a true and correct copy of the above document Response to Government Tax Disclosure. Said Right Extended To Any Attorney, Whether Or Not At Bar, If Providing Or Proposing To Provide "Assistance - Not Force - Of Counsel" with the Clerk of the Court for the [Alleged] United States District Court For The [Alleged] District Of Arizona, said [Alleged] Court Appearing And Existing [Supposedly] As A Possession Of Its Own And NOT Lawfully Existing In The Legal or Organic County of Maricopa, Legal or Organic [Proposed] State of Arizona, and have mailed a copy hereof, postage prepaid thereon, to the Alleged U.S. Attorney's Office at the following addresses set forth below.

Frank T. Galati, James Richard Knapp, Office of the Alleged U.S. Attorney 40 N. Central Ave. # 1200 Phoenix, Arizona near 85004

Susan Anderson 850 W. Adams Street, Suite 201 Phoenix, Arizona near 85007

RESPONSE TO THIS EXHIBITED NOTICE IS REQUIRED - Qui Tacit, Consentire Videtur, Ubi <u>Tractatur De Ejus Commodo</u> (He[She] who is silent is considered as assenting [to the matter in question] when his[/her] interest is as stake.)

Dated this 20th day of December, 2010 A.D

Janice Sue Taylor, sui juris

Of one's own right, possessing full social Civil rights, sovereign character and capacity

Without the United States.