Janice Sue Caylor 2:10-cr-00400-MHM 3341 Arianna Court Gilbert, Arizona	Document 138 Filed 10/25	5/10 Page 1 of 3	
Pursuant to U.S.C. 28 §1746 (1) Without the United States,		FILEDSEDCOPY	
		OCT 25 2010	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT	COURT FOR THE DISTRIC	CLERK US DISTRICT COURT TOPISTRIZONA BY M DEPUTY	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) Case No.: CR-10-40	The second secon	
Alleged Plaintiff,	BILL OF PARTIC	) BILL OF PARTICULARS	
vs.	}		
Janice Sue Taylor,	}		
Alleged Defendant	) FRCrP 7(f)	) FRCrP 7(f)	
MOTION for BILL of PARTICULARS			

COMES NOW the Alleged Defendant, by special appearance only and without waiver of challenges to jurisdiction, pursuant Rule 7(f) FRCrP, to state:

- 1) This Alleged Defendant comprehends that a Bill of Particulars cannot "cure" a defective or insufficient indictment or information, nevertheless because the Indictment here does not properly comply with Rule 7(c) FRCrP in that the Information does not cite or otherwise specify the particular statute, or section thereof, allegedly violated, nor cite or identify a particular administrative regulation (if any) supposedly violated, this Alleged Defendant is extremely handicapped and cannot understand how or even if an unspecified statute or regulation might have been violated.
- 2) In particular, this Alleged Defendant needs to know the following specific things:
  - (a) What statute, or section thereof, imposes a duty or requirement "to make an income tax return"?
  - (b) IF a duty or requirement "to make an income tax return" was or has been imposed by an administrative rule or regulation, this Alleged Defendant needs a copy of the applicable rule or regulation.
  - (c) What statute, or section thereof, imposes a duty or requirement "to make an income tax return TO said District Director of the Internal Revenue Service"? (emphasis added to clarify this point)
  - (d) IF a duty or requirement "to make an income tax return <u>TO said District Director of the Internal Revenue Service"</u> was or has been imposed by an administrative rule or regulation, this Alleged Defendant needs a copy of the applicable rule or regulation.
  - (e) What statute, or section thereof, imposes a duty or requirement "to make an income tax return <u>TO said Director of the Internal Revenue Service Center"?</u> (emphasis added for clarification)

- 1
- 2
- 4
- 5
- 7
- 8
- 10
- 11 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17 18
- 19
- 20
- 22
- 23
- 25
- 26
- 27 28

- (f) IF a duty or requirement "to make an income tax return <u>TO said Director of the Internal Revenue Service Center"</u> was or has been imposed by an administrative rule or regulation, this Alleged Defendant needs a copy of the applicable rule or regulation.
- (g) What statute, or section thereof, imposes a duty or requirement "to make an income tax return TO any proper officer of the IRS."? (emphasis added to clarify this point).
- (h) IF a duty or requirement "to make an income tax return <u>TO any proper officer of the IRS</u>" was or has been imposed by an administrative rule or regulation, this Alleged Defendant needs a copy of the applicable rule or regulation.
- (i) What statute, or section thereof, imposes a duty or requirement "to make an income tax return TO any other proper officer of the United States."? (emphasis added to clarify this point).
- (j) IF a duty or requirement "to make an income tax return <u>TO any other proper officer of the United States"</u> was or has been imposed by an administrative rule or regulation, this Alleged Defendant needs a copy of the applicable rule or regulation.
- (k) What statute or section thereof, defines the difference between the "United States" and the "United States of America"? This Alleged Defendant needs a copy of the applicable Statute or section.
- (l) If an Indictment is issued under the name of the "United States of America" as plaintiff, the Alleged Defendant needs a copy of real party in interest.
- (m) Please define the phrase "United States of America" as used as plaintiff in the Indictment. Who is the real party in interest?
- (n) Please define the phrase "any proper officer of the United States" as used in the Indictment. Does this phrase refer to a de jure officer of the United States as appointed by the President of the U.S. pursuant Article II, §2 of the Constitution? Or does it refer to some other claimed to be "officer of the United States"? Specify the WHO that "other proper officer" is!
- (o) Is the language of "fail to make an income tax return" a cryptic euphemism used in lieu of a direct and positive statement of "fail to file an income tax return"?

This Alleged Defendant cannot understand a so-called "charge" not made nor can a defense be effective.

THEREFORE: The government attorney(s) should be required to reply and respond to all of the above. Be it so ordered.

anice Sue Taylor, sui juris

Of one's own right, possessing full social Civil rights, sovereign character and capacity

Pursuant to U.S.C. 28 §1746 (1) Without the United States,

Janice Sue Caylor 2:10-cr-00400-MHM Document 138 Filed 10/25/10 Page 3 of 3 3341 Arianna Court Gilbert, Arizona
Pursuant to U.S.C. 28 §1746 (1)
Without the United States,

## **Certificate of Service**

I, Janice Sue Taylor, hereby declare and state that I have filed a true and correct copy of the above document <u>BILL OF PARTICULARS</u>. Said Right Extended To Any Attorney, Whether Or Not At Bar, If Providing Or Proposing To Provide "Assistance – Not Force – Of Counsel" with the <u>Clerk of the Court</u> for the [Alleged] United States District Court For The [Alleged] District Of Arizona, said [Alleged] Court Appearing And Existing [Supposedly] As A Possession Of Its Own And NOT Lawfully Existing In The Legal or Organic County of Maricopa, Legal or Organic [Proposed] State of Arizona, and have mailed a copy hereof, postage prepaid thereon, to the <u>Alleged U.S. Attorney's Office</u> at the following addresses set forth below.

Frank T. Galati,
James Richard Knapp,
Office of the Alleged U.S. Attorney
40 N. Central Ave. # 1200
Phoenix, Arizona near 85004

Susan Anderson 850 W. Adams Street, Suite 201 Phoenix, Arizona near 85007

RESPONSE TO THIS EXHIBITED NOTICE IS REQUIRED - Qui Tacit, Consentire Videtur, Ubi Tractatur De Ejus Commodo (He[She] who is silent is considered as assenting [to the matter in question] when his[/her] interest is as stake.)

Dated this 22nd day of October, 2010 A.D

Janice Sue Taylor, sui juris

Of one's own right, possessing full social Civil rights, sovereign character and capacity Pursuant to U.S.C. 28 §1746 (1) Without the United States,