


Janice Sue Taylor
Appearing Specially, Not Generally
Near 3341 Arianna Court
Near Gilbert, Arizona



United States District Court
District of Arizona

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 plaintiff,
 vs.
Janice Sue Taylor,
 defendant

Case No: CR-10-0400-PHX-MHM
Second Request for Discovery


Comes now Alleged Defendant who hereby requests counsel for the Alleged Plaintiff to provide discovery. Alleged Defendant requests the following:

1. The names of every witness the prosecution relies on to prove:
 - A. Alleged Defendant's presence within the State of Arizona;
 - B. Alleged Defendant's presence within the District of Arizona;
 - C. Alleged Defendant's presence within the United States;
 - D. Alleged Defendant is subject to United States Code;
 - E. A valid cause of action (corpus delecti) has been presented to the court.
2. The name of any witnesses who are experts in the interpretation and application of federal tax law, including constitutional laws, the prosecution relies on.

3. Any and all evidence, known to the Internal Revenue Agent's or Prosecutor's that was shown to the Grand Jury proving Alleged Defendant was present within the State of Arizona.
4. Any and all evidence, known by the Internal Revenue Agent's or Prosecutor's that was shown to the Grand Jury, proving Alleged Defendant was within the United States.
5. Any evidence (not allegations/opinions) Alleged Defendant is subject to the laws of the United States.
6. Any factual evidence a valid cause of action has been presented to the court. (Not allegations or opinions) (Not the indictment)
7. The names of any other witnesses the prosecution relies on to support any element of the alleged crime or cause of action against Alleged Defendant and which elements the witness is specifically relied on to testify to.
8. Is there admissible evidence of a Complaining party?

Please have information to Alleged Defendant within 10 days from this submittal.

Submitted this 4th day of October, 2010


Janice Sue Taylor, sui juris,
Of one's own right, possessing full social
Civil rights, sovereign character and capacity

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE


I, Janice Sue Taylor, hereby declare and state that I have filed a true and correct copy of the above document, Request for Discovery. Said Right Extended To Any Attorney, Whether Or Not At Bar, If Providing Or Proposing To Provide "Assistance – Not Force – Of Counsel" with the Clerk of the Court for the [Alleged] United States District Court For The [Alleged] District Of Arizona, said [Alleged] Court Appearing And Existing [Supposedly] As A Possession Of Its Own And NOT Lawfully Existing In The Legal or Organic County of Maricopa, Legal or Organic [Proposed] State of Arizona, and have mailed or delivered a copy hereof, postage prepaid thereon, to the Alleged U.S. Attorney's Office's at the following addresses set forth below.

Frank T. Galati,
James Richard Knapp,
Office of the Alleged U.S. Attorney
40 N. Central Ave. # 1200
Phoenix, Arizona near 85004

Susan Anderson
850 W. Adams Suite 201
Phoenix, Arizona, near 85007

RESPONSE TO THIS EXHIBITED REQUEST IS REQUIRED - *Qui Tacet, Consentire Videtur, Ubi Tractatur De Ejus Commodo* (He[She] who is silent is considered as assenting [to the matter in question] when his[her] interest is as stake.)

Dated this 4th day of October, 2010 A.D



Janice Sue Taylor, sui juris