Janice Sue Taylor Case 2:10-cr-00400-MHM Document 93 Filed 09/21/10 Appearing Specially, Not Generally Legal Address. Commencing, in suf. det., at w 1/4 corner of section 26,

Legal Address. Commencing, in Sur. det., at 174 coline of section 26, T.2S.- R.6E., G & SRB & M, thence S. 0° 07' 22" W. to 332.12 ft. to SW corner of section 26, thence bearing 0° S. 7' 22" W. from SW. corner of section 26, 332.12 ft. distant therefrom, thence southerly of N. Section 26 – 858.78 ft to the True Point of the Beginning, continuing thence 164.91 ft. to SE corner, thence 164.91 ft. to SW corner, to True Point of the Beginning; organic city of Gilbert, organic county of Maricopa, organic State of Arizona; —not owned or possessed by the United States of America; —not a post Road; —not on a post Road: —not in a U.S. district.



(response information at certificate of service page)

DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) Case No: CR-10-0400-PHX-MHM
D1 1 4100) MOTION TO DISMISS
Plaintiff,) MOTION TO DISMISS
Vs.) FOR UNLAWFUL USE
) OF A MISNOMER
Janice Sue Taylor, sui juris)
D 0 1 4)
Defendant	
) Proposed Orders Attached

NOTICE TO THE COURT, CLERK OF COURT and UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

This motion is filed for above caption hearing in the district court of the United States, and not the "United States District Court". If the recipient clerk is unable to process this pleading, please direct it to the proper official.

MOTION TO DISMISS

Comes now Janice Sue Taylor, a living woman, not a corporation or other type of artificially created person, and not domiciled in the District of Columbia; hereinafter the MOVANT, by Special Visitation or Appearance, not granting jurisdiction nor recognizing this court's right to try her; but intervening in a foreign jurisdiction on behalf of the Alleged Defendant, PERSONA JANICE SUE TAYLOR, hereinafter the Accused. Movant is not trained in the law, nor is She an attorney, nor is She appearing Pro Se; but rather of right in Sui Juris.

Movant respectfully moves this court to DISMISS the INDICTMENT of March 30, 2007, per FRCrP Rule 12(b)(3)(A) in that Plaintiff is without capacity to indict; in the nature of FRCvP Rule 9(a) in that Plaintiff is without capacity to sue.

The complaint purports to be a criminal action initiated by a Plaintiff, "UNITED STATES OF AMERICA", for alleged violations of laws or administrative codes of the federal United States government and asking for imprisonment. The Movant has no knowledge of any statute, rule, regulation or other provision if law that would permit action against her by an entity known as "UNITED STATES OF AMERICA".

Janice Sue Taylor 25 2:10-cr-00400-MHM Document 93 Filed 09/21/10 Page 2 of 6

Legal Address. Commencing, in suf. det., at w 1/4 corner of section 26, T.2S.- R.6E., G & SRB & M, thence S, 0° 07' 22" W. to 332.12 ft. to SW corner of section 26, thence bearing 0° S. 7' 22" W. from SW. corner of section 26, 332.12 ft. distant therefrom, thence southerly of N. Section 26 – 858.78 ft to the True Point of the Beginning, continuing thence 164.91 ft. to SE corner, thence 164.91 ft. to SW corner, to True Point of the Beginning; organic city of Gilbert, organic county of Maricopa, organic State of Arizona; —not owned or possessed by the United States of America; —not a post Road; —not on a post Road; —not in a U.S. district. (response information at certificate of service page)

The "UNITED STATES OF AMERICA" exists only as the union of the 50 States united, that as a unified body created the federal "United States" government by and through the ratification of the Federal Constitution. Therefore, Movant contends that "UNITED STATES OF AMERICA" does not exist in any capacity to file a suit or seek injunctive relief, or more seriously, criminal charges against the Movant.

Furthermore, the very definition of the "United States" is nonexistent in the FRCrP, particularly at Rule 1(b), formerly Rule 54(c), nor found by the Movant in USC title 28, except as a corporation (28 USC §3002 (15)(A) foreign to the 50 union States.

This Indictment is a fraudulent attempt to deceive the public into believing that the federal government sits as a **central** government, rather than the **limited** government that it is.

Title 4 section 72 also fortifies this to wit:

All offices attached to the seat of government shall be exercised in the District Of Columbia, and not elsewhere, except as otherwise expressly provided by law.

Absent a showing by the Plaintiff of the lawful authority granted by Congress to bring the instant action in the name of "UNITED STATES OF AMERICA", the Court is in want of jurisdiction in this matter.

The instant "case" is nothing but an effort by the alleged person known as the "United States" to take the property, liberty and indeed, noting the time of imprisonment asked, the very life of the Movant by suborning this honorable Court into creating a claim on its behalf which does not otherwise exist. The United States of America has no interest in the process; on the contrary, their interest here is in seeing that the specifications under which both the "United States" and this honorable Court were established are upheld to the letter. These specifications include the provisions of the Articles of Confederation, Declaration of Independence, in which are recognized the inalienable rights of men/women to life, liberty and property, that the sole legitimate purpose of government is to assist Americans in making these rights secure, and that policies, procedures or pretexts which are destructive of these ends, that is, which interfere with or threaten rights such as the right to property, are legitimate. These specifications also include Article 1, section 2 and 9 and the First, Fourth, Fifth, Eighth, Ninth, Tenth, Eleventh and Thirteenth Articles of amendment of the Constitution for the united States of America 1791 A.D.

2 3 4

Janice Sue Tayl@ase 2:10-cr-00400-MHM Document 93 Filed 09/21/10 Page 3 of 6 Appearing Specialty, Not Generally

Legal Address. Commencing, in suf. det., at w 1/4 corner of section 26, T.2S.- R.6E., G & SRB & M, thence S. 0° 07' 22" W. to 332.12 ft. to SW corner of section 26, thence bearing 0° S. 7' 22" W. from SW. corner of section 26, 332.12 ft. distant therefrom, thence southerly of N. Section 26 - 858.78 ft to the True Point of the Beginning, continuing thence 164.91 ft. to SE corner, thence 164.91 ft. to SW corner, to True Point of the Beginning; organic city of Gilbert, organic county of Maricopa, organic State of Arizona; -not owned or possessed by the United States of America; -not a post Road; -not on a post Road; -not in a U.S. district. (response information at certificate of service page)

1 2

3

Furthermore, their inapplicability in the instant case notwithstanding, the statutes invoked by the Plaintiff specify that relevant actions are to be taken cognizance of at the instance of the "United States", and are to be brought in the name of the "United States" - NOT the "UNITED STATES OF AMERICA".

4

5

6 7

8

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28 29

30 31 Rule 17 Civil Rules of Procedure

Plaintiff and Defendant; Capacity; Public Officers

(a) Real Party in Interest.

(1) Designation in General.

An action must be prosecuted in the name of the real party in interest. The following may sue in their own names without joining the person for whose benefit the action is brought:

- (A) an executor:
- (B) an administrator;
- (C) a guardian;
- (D) a bailee;
- (E) a trustee of an express trust;
- (F) a party with whom or in whose name a contract has been made for another's benefit; and
- (G) a party authorized by statute.
- (2) Action in the Name of the United States for Another's Use or Benefit. When a federal statute so provides, an action for another's use or benefit must be brought in the name of the United States.

Any claims from Plaintiff that this is a Criminal case and the above rule refers to Civil cases does not fly according to Rule 2 of the Civil Rules of Procedure which states that:

There is one form of action — the civil action.

26 USC §7402 Jurisdiction of district courts.

(a) To issue orders, processes, and judgments.

The district courts of the United States of the instance of the United States shall have such jurisdiction to make and issue actions, writs and orders of injunction, and of ne exeat republicia, orders appointing receivers, and such other orders and processes, and to render such judgments and decrees as may be necessary or appropriate for the enforcement of the internal revenue laws. The remedies hereby provided are in addition to and not exclusive of any and all other remedies of the United States in such courts or otherwise to enforce such laws. [Emphasis added].

(f) Gener al jurisdiction

For general jurisdiction of the district courts of the United States in civil actions involving internal revenue, see section 1340 of Title 28 of the United States Code.

Jankee Sue Tayle ase 2:10-cr-00400-MHM Document 93 Filed 09/21/10 Page 4 of 6

Legal Address. Commencing, in suf. det., at w 1/4 corner of section 26, T.2S.- R.6E., G & SRB & M, thence S. 0° 07' 22" W. to 332.12 ft. to SW corner of section 26, thence bearing 0° S. 7' 22" W. from SW. corner of section 26, 332.12 ft. distant therefrom, thence southerly of N. Section 26 – 858.78 ft to the True Point of the Beginning, continuing thence 164.91 ft. to SE corner, thence 164.91 ft. to SW corner, to True Point of the Beginning; organic city of Gilbert, organic county of Maricopa, organic State of Arizona; —not owned or possessed by the United States of America; —not a post Road; —not on a post Road; —not in a U.S. district.

(response information at certificate of service page)

28 USC §1345 United States as a plaintiff

Except as otherwise provided by an Act of Congress, the district Courts shall have original jurisdiction of all civil actions, suits or proceedings commenced by the United States, or by any agency or officer thereof expressly authorized to sue by an Act of congress [emphasis added]

Considering the forgoing explicit statutory language, the Plaintiff cannot argue that there is no legally meaningful difference between the "United States and the "UNITED STATES OF AMERICA". Movant demands the Court to require the Plaintiff to accord the words of Congress, supra, their proper due.

Further, Movant cannot understand the Internal Revenue Code. If there is such an explicit jurisdictional statement for mere civil actions, why can Movant <u>not find</u> such a statement for much more serious criminal statutes? Movant cannot assume, not has Plaintiff shown **explicit** jurisdiction of the district court in 26 USC §7201, §7203 over the indictment charges, nor any other associated section. Perhaps there is no criminal jurisdiction for this court? Plaintiff has not shown it and now admits there is none per FRCvP Rule 8(d).

TITLE 18>PART I> CHAPTER I> §23.1

18 USC §23.1 Court of the United States defined.

As used in this Title, except where otherwise expressly provided, the term "court of the "**United States"** includes the District Court of Guam, the District Court for the Northern Marianna Islands, and the District Court of the Virgin Islands.

TITLE 4 USC section 72 also fortifies this to wit: Public Office

All offices attached to the seat of government shall be exercised in the District
of Columbia, and not elsewhere, except as otherwise expressly provided by law

Even showing a codified criminal jurisdiction like the civil, supra, Plaintiffs argument as being the "UNITED STATES OF AMERICA" fails as the "UNITED STATES OF AMERICA" does not exist in any capacity to press criminal charges against the Movant.

WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Pursuant to UCC 1-308: "I reserve my right not to be compelled to perform under any contract, commercial agreement or bankruptcy that I did not enter knowingly, voluntarily, and intentionally. And furthermore, I do not and will not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed contract or commercial agreement or bankruptcy". I

Janice Sue Tayle as e 2:10-cr-00400-MHM Document 93 Filed 09/21/10 Page 5 of 6

Legal Address. Commencing, in suf. det., at w 1/4 corner of section 26, T.2S.- R.6E., G & SRB & M, thence S. 0° 07' 22" W. to 332.12 ft. to SW corner of section 26, thence bearing 0° S. 7' 22" W. from SW. corner of section 26, 332.12 ft. distant therefrom, thence southerly of N. Section 26 – 858.78 ft to the True Point of the Beginning, continuing thence 164.91 ft. to SE corner, thence 164.91 ft. to SW corner, to True Point of the Beginning; organic city of Gilbert, organic county of Maricopa, organic State of Arizona; —not owned or possessed by the United States of America; —not a post Road; —not on a post Road; —not in a U.S. district.

(response information at certificate of service page)

 have made a timely and explicit reservation of my rights and insist that any statutes used in my defense shall be construed to be in harmony with the Common Law.

RELIEF REQUESTED

DISMISS the entire INDICTMENT of March 30, 2007with prejudice. Movant demands this court to dismiss all counts against the accused alleged defendant JANICE SUE TAYLOR based on this Challenge to jurisdiction, and cancel the indictment herein, forthwith; and whatever further relief shall be deem equitable. Since the issue raised here are of law, an immediate appeal would be hereby requested.

Movant demands this court to provide documentation sworn true, correct and complete of the Constitutionality of all issues herein. Findings of fact and conclusions of law are required on all documents in answer to any issues herein.

Movant demands this court allow no excludable delay to answer these admissions. Plaintiff
As an office of public trust and profit, required to protect Movant's rights as required by law,
already knew or should have known issues herein, and is presumed to have reviewed them with
the Grand Jury at the time of Indictment.

Movant demands this court for RELIEF forthwith.

Dated this 20th day of September, 2010

Janice Sue Taylor, sui juris

Of one's own right, possessing full social and Civil rights, sovereign character and capacity.

~_

Janice Sue Tay@rase 2:10-cr-00400-MHM Document 93 Filed 09/21/10 Page 6 of 6
Appearing Specially, Not Generally Legal Address. Commencing, in suf. det., at w 1/4 corner of section 26, T.2S.- R.6E., G & SRB & M, thence S. 0° 07' 22" W. to 332.12 ft. to SW corner of section 26, thence bearing 0° S. 7' 22" W. from SW. corner of section 26, 332.12 ft. distant therefrom, thence southerly of N. Section 26 - 858.78 ft to the True Point of the Beginning, continuing thence 164.91 ft. to SE corner, thence 164.91 ft. to SW corner, to True Point of the Beginning; organic city of Gilbert, organic county of Maricopa, organic State of Arizona; -not owned or possessed by the United States of America; -not a post Road; -not on a post Road; -not in a U.S. district. (response information at certificate of service page) Certificate of Service I, Janice Sue Taylor, hereby declare and state that I have filed a true and correct copy of the above document Motion to Dismiss. Said Right Extended To Any Attorney, Whether Or Not At Bar, If Providing Or Proposing To Provide "Assistance -Not Force - Of Counsel" with the Clerk of the Court for the [Alleged] United States District Court For The [Alleged] District Of Arizona, said [Alleged] Court Appearing And Existing [Supposedly] As A Possession Of Its Own And NOT Lawfully Existing In The Legal or Organic County of Maricopa, Legal or Organic [Proposed] State of Arizona, and have mailed a copy hereof, postage prepaid thereon, to the Alleged U.S. Attorney's Office at the following addresses set forth below. Frank T. Galati, Susan Anderson James Richard Knapp, 850 W. Adams Street, Suite 201 Office of the Alleged U.S. Attorney Phoenix, Arizona near 85007 40 N. Central Ave. # 1200 Phoenix, Arizona near 85004 RESPONSE TO THIS EXHIBITED NOTICE IS REQUIRED - Qui Tacit, Consentire Ubi Tractatur De Ejus Commodo (He[She] who is silent is considered as assenting [to the matter in question] when his[/her] interest is as stake.) Popular Address, JUST Legal Address. Commencing, in suf. det., at w 1/4 corner of section 26, T.2S.-R.6E., G & SRB & M, thence S. 0° 07' 22" W. to 332.12 ft. to SW corner of For Use For Postal Service Mailing: CUT> Janice Sue Taylor section 26, thence bearing 0° S. 7' 22" W. from SW. AND corner of section 26, 332.12 ft. distant therefrom, thence southerly of N. Section 26 - 858.78 ft to the 3341 Arianna GLUE> Court Gilbert, AZ True Point of the Beginning, continuing thence 164.91 To ft. to SE corner, thence 164.91 ft. to SW corner, to

Envelope

True Point of the Beginning; organic city of 85298 Gilbert, organic county of Maricopa, organic State of Arizona; --not owned or possessed by the United States of America; --not a post Road; --not on a post Road; --not in a U.S. district. Legal Notice. Do not mind the small letters size for the Legal Address that you see. All Articles - Sent By U.S. Mail - Are To be Opened And Read Only When Accompanied By Label Size (small size) "Legal Address" From First Page (Shown Above) Displayed On Envelope - Below Popular Address. Otherwise, Where Legal Address Is Not Present, Article Sent Will Be Returned Unopened. No need to waste gasoline and time by not using the U.S. mail, or postal service. Dated this 20TH day of September, 2010 A.D Janide Sue Taylor, sui jukis

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28 29

30