Case 2:10-cr-00400-MHM Document 10 Filed 04/30/10 Page 1 of 2

	Case 2:10-cr-00400-MHM Document 10 Filed 04/30/10 Page 2 of 2		
1	It is expected that excludable delay under Title 18 U.S.C. Section		
2	3161(h)(7)(B) and (h)(1)(D) may result from this motion or from an order based		
3	thereon.		
4	Respectfully submitted: April 30, 2010.		
5	JON M. SANDS Federal Public Defender		
6			
7 8	s/ Susan E. Anderson SUSAN E. ANDERSON Asst. Federal Public Defender		
9	I hereby certify that on <i>April 30, 2010</i> , I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk's Office using the ECF System for filing and transmittal to the		
10	document to the Clerk's Office using the ECF System for filing and transmittal to the following ECF registrants:		
11	CLERK'S OFFICE United States District Court Sandra Day O'Connor Courthouse 401 W. Washington		
12			
13	Phoenix, Arizona 85003		
14	FRANK GALATI and JAMES R. KNAPP		
15	Assistant U.S. Attorney United States Attorney's Office		
16	Two Renaissance Square 40 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1200		
17	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4408		
18	Copy mailed to:		
19	JANICE SUE TAYLOR Defendant		
20	s/ yc		
21	<del>yc</del>		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	2		

	Case 2:10-cr-00400-MHM Document 10	0-1 Filed 04/30/10 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
8	United States of America,	N. CD 10 400 DVIV MIN (	
9	Plaintiff,	No. CR-10-400-PHX-MHM	
10	v.	ORDER	
11	Janice Sue Taylor,	(First Request)	
12	Defendant.		
13	Upon motion of the defendant for first request for a trial continuance,		
14	and extension of the pretrial motion deadline, no objection by the government,		
15	and good cause appearing,		
16	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED continuing the trial of this case from		
17	June 1, 2010, to	, 2010, at in	
18 19	Phoenix, Arizona.		
20	This court specifically finds that the ends of justice served by taking		
21	this action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy		
22	trial. This finding is based on the court's conclusion that the failure to grant such a		
23	continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel and		
24	would deny the attorney for defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective		
25	preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. §		
26	3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
27		ED extending the current pretrial motions	
28	deadline until		